

ICES WGBFAS REPORT 2016

ICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ICES CM 2016/ACOM:11

REF. ACOM

Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS)

12–19 April 2016

ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark



ICES
CIEM

International Council for
the Exploration of the Sea

Conseil International pour
l'Exploration de la Mer

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer

H. C. Andersens Boulevard 44–46
DK-1553 Copenhagen V
Denmark
Telephone (+45) 33 38 67 00
Telefax (+45) 33 93 42 15
www.ices.dk
info@ices.dk

Recommended format for purposes of citation:

ICES. 2016. Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS), 12-19 April 2016, ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2016/ACOM:11. 594 pp.

For permission to reproduce material from this publication, please apply to the General Secretary.

The document is a report of an Expert Group under the auspices of the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea and does not necessarily represent the views of the Council.

© 2016 International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

Contents

Executive Summary	1
1 Introduction	2
1.1 List of participants	2
1.2 Terms of reference	3
1.2.1 With reference to the Frequency of Assessment criteria agreed by ACOM (see section 5.1 of WGCHAIRS document 03): (1) Complete the calculation of the first set of criteria, by calculating Mohn's rho index for the final assessment year F; (2) Comment on the list of stocks initially identified as candidates for less frequent assessment from the first set of criteria (adding stocks to the list or removing them would require a sufficient rationale to be provided).	6
1.2.2 Propose specific actions to be taken to improve the quality and transmission of the data (including improvements in data collection).	7
1.2.3 Prepare the data calls for the next year update assessment and for the planned data evaluation workshops.....	8
1.2.4 Identify research needs of relevance for the expert group.....	9
1.2.5 Benchmark process.....	11
1.2.6 Check the relevance of the reopening procedure and report on reopened advice if appropriate	12
<i>Background</i>	12
<i>Comments by the WG</i>	12
1.2.7 Describe the available information on recreational fisheries of cod in the Baltic Sea region and identify data gaps and how best to fill these gaps in order to arrive at sound estimates of recreational fishing mortality	13
1.2.8 Workplan for intersessional work for the coming years to improve the assessment and advice of the Baltic cod stocks	21
1.2.9 Estimate precautionary reference points for all the category 1 stocks with undefined PA reference points, following the Technical Guidelines document on reference points developed by ACOM and the WKMSYREF4 report.....	25
1.3 Working Groups response to recommendations from other ICES groups.....	26
1.4 Fisheries overview	27
1.4.1 General overview of the Baltic Sea fisheries	27
1.4.2 Detailed information on fisheries by countries.....	28
1.5 Reviews of groups or work important for WGBFAS.....	40
1.5.1 Meeting of the Chairs of Assessment Expert Groups (WGCHAIRS)	40
1.5.2 Baltic International fish survey Working Group (WGBIFS)	41

1.5.3	SGSPATIAL/WKSPATIAL	43
1.5.4	Working group of Integrated Assessment (WGIAB).....	43
1.5.5	Working Group on Multispecies Assessment Methods (WGSAM).....	44
1.5.6	Workshop on the Development of Quantitative Assessment Methodologies based on the Life-history Traits, Exploitation Characteristics and other Relevant Parameters for Data- limited Stocks (WKLIFE)	44
1.5.7	The Stock Identification Methods Working Group (SIMWG) 45	
1.5.8	Analysis of catch-at-age data.....	45
1.5.9	Assessment Software.....	46
1.5.10	Methods applied in subsequent assessments	46
1.6	Stock annex.....	47
1.7	Ecosystem considerations.....	47
1.7.1	Abiotic factors	47
1.7.2	Biotic factors	51
1.7.3	Ecosystem and multispecies models.....	55
1.7.4	Ecosystem considerations in the stock assessments.....	55
1.7.5	Conclusions and recommendations	55
1.8	Stock Overviews	56
1.9	Recommendations	61
2	Cod	62
2.1	Cod in subdivisions 25–32.....	62
2.1.1	The fishery	62
2.1.2	Biological information for catch.....	63
2.1.3	Fishery independent information on stock status.....	64
2.1.4	Assessment	66
2.1.5	Short term forecast and management options.....	66
2.1.6	Reference points.....	66
2.1.7	Quality of the assessment	67
2.1.8	Comparison with previous assessment	67
2.1.9	Management considerations	67
2.2	Cod in Kattegat	86
2.2.1	The fishery	86
2.2.2	Biological information.....	87
2.2.3	Fishery independent information.....	88
2.2.4	Assessment	88
2.2.5	Short term forecast and management options.....	89
2.2.6	Reference points.....	89
2.2.7	Quality of the assessment	89
2.2.8	Comparison with previous assessment	90
2.2.9	Management considerations	90
2.3	Western Baltic cod (update assessment).....	118
2.3.1	The Fishery	118

2.3.2	Biological data	121
2.3.3	Fishery independent information.....	122
2.3.4	Stock assessment runs	123
2.3.5	Short-term forecast and management options.....	124
2.3.6	Reference points	124
2.3.7	Quality of assessment.....	125
2.3.8	Comparison with previous assessment	125
2.3.9	Management considerations	126
3	Sole in Division 3.a and subdivisions 22–24 (Skagerrak, Kattegat, the Belts and western Baltic)	160
3.1	The Fishery	160
3.1.1	Landings.....	160
3.1.2	Discards.....	160
3.1.3	Effort and CPUE Data	160
3.2	Biological composition of the catch.....	161
3.2.1	Catch in numbers	161
3.2.2	Mean weight-at-age.....	161
3.2.3	Maturity at age	161
3.2.4	Natural mortality	161
3.2.5	Quality of catch and biological data.....	161
3.3	Fishery independent information.....	162
3.4	Assessment	162
3.4.1	Model residuals.....	162
3.4.2	Fleet sensitivity analysis	162
3.4.3	Final stock and fishery estimation.....	162
3.4.4	Retrospective analysis	162
3.4.5	Historical stock trends.....	163
3.5	Short-term forecast and management options.....	163
3.6	Reference points.....	164
3.7	Quality of assessment.....	164
3.8	Comparison with previous assessment	164
3.9	Management considerations	164
4	Flounder in the Baltic.....	188
4.1	Introduction.....	188
4.1.1	WKBALFLAT – Benchmark	188
4.1.2	Discard	188
4.1.3	Biological data	189
4.2	Flounder in subdivisions 22 and 23 (Belts and Sound)	189
4.2.1	The fishery	189
4.2.2	Biological composition of the catch.....	191
4.2.3	Fishery independent information.....	193
4.2.4	Assessment	194
4.3	Flounder in subdivisions 24 and 25.....	199

4.3.1	The Fishery	199
4.3.2	Biological information.....	200
4.3.3	Fishery independent information.....	200
4.3.4	Assessment	200
4.4	Flounder in subdivisions 26-28 (Eastern Gotland and Gulf of Gdansk).....	212
4.4.1	Fishery	212
4.4.2	Biological information.....	213
4.4.3	Fishery independent information.....	214
4.4.4	Assessment	214
4.5	Flounder in subdivisions 27, 29-32 (Northern flounder).....	222
4.5.1	Fishery	222
4.5.2	Biological information.....	223
4.5.3	Fishery independent data	223
4.5.4	Assessment	224
5	Turbot, dab, and brill in the Baltic	234
5.1	Turbot.....	234
5.1.1	Fishery	234
5.1.2	Biological composition of the catch.....	234
5.1.3	Fishery independent information.....	235
5.1.4	Assessment	235
5.2	Dab.....	235
5.2.1	Fishery	235
5.2.2	Biological composition of the catch.....	236
5.2.3	Fishery independent information.....	236
5.2.4	Assessment	236
5.3	Brill	236
5.3.1	Fishery	236
5.3.2	Biological composition of the catch.....	237
5.3.3	Fishery independent information.....	237
5.3.4	Assessment	237
6	Herring.....	246
6.1	Introduction.....	246
6.1.1	Pelagic Stocks in the Baltic: Herring and Sprat.....	246
6.1.2	Fisheries Management	246
6.1.3	Catch options by management unit for herring	248
6.1.4	Assessment units for herring stocks.....	250
6.2	Herring in subdivisions 25–27, 28, 29 and 32.....	255
6.2.1	The Fishery	255
6.2.2	Biological information.....	255
6.2.3	Fishery independent information.....	258
6.2.4	Assessment	258
6.2.5	Short-term forecast and management options.....	260
6.2.6	Reference points.....	261

6.2.7	Quality of assessment.....	261
6.2.8	Comparison with previous assessment	262
6.2.9	Management considerations	262
6.3	Gulf of Riga herring (Subdivision 28.1) (update assessment)	302
6.3.1	The Fishery	302
6.3.2	Biological information.....	303
6.3.3	Fishery independent information.....	304
6.3.4	Assessment	304
6.3.5	Short-term forecast and management options.....	306
6.3.6	Reference points.....	306
6.3.7	Quality of assessment.....	307
6.3.8	Comparison with the previous assessment.....	307
6.3.9	Management considerations	308
6.4	Herring in Subdivision 30.....	342
6.4.1	The Fishery	342
6.4.2	Biological information.....	343
6.4.3	Fishery independent information.....	344
6.4.4	Assessment	345
6.4.5	Short-term forecast and management options.....	347
6.4.6	Reference points.....	348
6.4.7	Comparison with previous assessment	348
6.4.8	Quality of the assessment	349
6.4.9	Management considerations	350
6.5	Herring in Subdivision 31.....	365
6.5.1	The Fishery	365
6.5.2	Biological information.....	365
6.5.3	Fishery independent information.....	366
6.5.4	Assessment	366
7	Sprat in subdivisions 22–32	380
7.1	The Fishery	380
7.1.1	Landings.....	380
7.1.2	Unallocated removals.....	381
7.1.3	Discards.....	381
7.1.4	Effort and CPUE data.....	381
7.2	Biological information.....	381
7.2.1	Age composition	381
7.2.2	Mean weight-at-age.....	382
7.2.3	Natural mortality	382
7.2.4	Maturity-at-age	382
7.2.5	Quality of catch and biological data.....	383
7.3	Fishery independent information.....	383
7.4	Assessment	383
7.4.1	XSA	383
7.4.2	Exploration of SAM.....	384
7.4.3	Recruitment estimates	384

7.4.4	Historical stock trends.....	384
7.5	Short-term forecast and management options.....	384
7.6	Reference points.....	385
7.7	Quality of assessment.....	386
7.8	Comparison with previous assessment.....	387
7.9	Management considerations.....	387
8	Plaice.....	432
8.1	Introduction.....	432
8.1.1	Biology.....	432
8.1.2	Assessment units for plaice stocks.....	432
8.2	Plaice in subdivisions 21–23 (Kattegat, the Sound and Western Baltic).....	432
8.2.1	The fishery.....	432
8.2.2	Biological information.....	433
8.2.3	Fishery independent information.....	434
8.2.4	Assessment.....	434
8.2.5	Short-term forecast and management options.....	435
8.2.6	Reference points.....	435
8.2.7	Quality of assessment.....	438
8.2.8	Comparison with previous assessment.....	438
8.3	Plaice in subdivisions 24–32.....	457
8.3.1	The Fishery.....	457
8.3.2	Biological composition of the catch.....	459
8.3.3	Fishery independent information.....	460
8.3.4	Assessment.....	462
8.3.5	Recruitment estimates.....	466
8.3.6	Short-term forecast and management options.....	466
8.3.7	Reference points.....	466
8.3.8	Quality of assessment.....	466
8.3.9	Comparison with previous assessment.....	466
8.3.10	Management considerations.....	466
9	Benchmark information per stock.....	471
9.1	Kattegat Cod.....	472
9.2	Herring in SD 30.....	473
9.3	Herring in SD 31.....	475
10	References.....	477
Annex 1	List of Participants.....	481
Annex 02	Recommendations.....	486
Annex 03	Terms of Reference for the next meeting.....	487
Annex 04	List of stock annexes.....	488

Annex 05	Audits.....	489
Annex 06	Working Documents	490
Annex 07	Reference points: New precautionary reference points for her-30, ple-2123 and cod-2224	577
Annex 08	Herring in Subdivision 30	578
Annex 09	Technical Minutes of the Review Group of Precautionary Approach Reference Points estimation	582
Annex 10:	ADGBS work on Western Baltic cod	489
Annex 11:	Additional advice on Western Baltic Cod – Part 2.....	491

Executive Summary

The ICES Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS) met 12–19 April 2016 (Chair: Tomas Gröhsler, Germany and Co-chair: Michele Casini, Sweden), with 39 participants and 8 countries represented. The objective of WGBFAS was to assess the status of the following stocks:

- Sole in Division 3.a, SDs 20–24
- Cod in Kattegat, Cod in SDs 22–24, Cod in SDs 25–32
- Herring in SDs 25–27, 28.2, 29 and 32, Herring in SD 28.1 (Gulf of Riga), Herring in SD 30, Herring SD 31
- Sprat in SDs 22–32
- Plaice in SDs 21–23, Plaice in SDs 24–25
- Flounder in SDs 22–23; in SDs 24–25; in SDs 26+28 and SDs 27+29–32, Brill in SDs 22–32, Dab in SDs 22–32 and Turbot in SDs 22–32

WGBFAS also identified the data needed for next year's data call with some suggestions for improvements in the data call.

The report contains an introduction with the summary of other WGs relevant for the WGBFAS, country specific fishery description, the methods used, and ecosystem considerations. The results of the analytical stock assessment or survey trends for the species listed above are then presented with all the stocks with the same species in the same sections. The report ends with references, list of Working Documents, recommendations and links to Stock Annexes.

The principle analytical models used for the stock assessments were XSA and SAM. For most flatfishes, CPUE trends from bottom trawl surveys were presented (except plaice in SDs 24–25 and herring in SD 31 using relative SSB from SAM and XSA, respectively).

For cod in SDs 25–32, the results of the current projects focusing on the understanding of basic biological and ecological processes were presented and summarised. This work will hopefully allow returning to an analytical stock assessment in the near future.

Ecosystem changes have been analytically considered in the following stock assessments: Herring in SD 25–27, 28.2, 29 and 32, and Sprat in SD 22–32, in form of cod predation mortality.